

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/668,734 | 09/23/2003 | John J. Toben | 6006-141-1 | 2477 | |
| 7: | 590 09/01/2006 | EXAMINER | | | |
| Nicholas J. Tu | | GARCIA, ERNESTO | | | |
| | ulding & Huber LLP | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| CityPlace II 185 Asylum Street | | | 3679 | | |
| Hartford, CT | 06103 | | DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006 | i | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/668,734 | TOBEN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Ernesto Garcia | 3679 | | |

| | | | 33.0 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover s | heet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2006** FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN C | CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | wing replies: (1) an a strice of Appeal (with | amendment, aff appeal fee) in (| fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | • | the date set forth | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | later than SIX MONTH | S from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | '06.07(f). | • • | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ktension and the corres shortened statutory pe ir than three months af | sponding amount eriod for reply orig | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | ension thereof (37 C | FR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | search (see NO | IE below); | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | | by materially re | ducina or simplifyina | the issues for |
| appeal; and/or | itter form for appear | by materially re | ducing or simplifying | ine issues ioi |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding num | ber of finally rei | iected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | • | , | , | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | otice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | • | , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted | d in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 20 and 21. | ☑ will not be enter vided below or appe | red, or b) 🗌 wi ended. | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>12-16</u> . | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 12-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-11 and 17-19</u> . | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejection overcome in the contraction of the contractio | ons under appe er presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place t | he application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO | 5-1449) Paper N | No(s). | dola |
| | 1 | DAN | IIEL P. STODOLA ORY PATENT EXAMINER | |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a: the new limitations "use in sealing ductwork" in claim 12, line 1, and "a wall of said ductwork" in claim 12, line 5, require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: The drawings filed on 8/9/06 are acceptable. Also, note that no interview took place. The attorney merely called to get feedback on how to fix the drawing objections.

** Copy of original filed 14 June 2006, which contains the wrong serial number and thus was not matched to the correct file. In the future, applicants should check to verify that the proper serial number and the proper docket number has been provided on each document submitted to the Office to ensure timely matching of the response to the correct application file.